



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,528	09/19/2005	Shawn De Frees	705734	3615
23460 7590 02/19/2010 LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6731				
EXAMINER				
HA, JULIE				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1654				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/19/2010		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Chgpatent@leydig.com  
Chgpatent1@leydig.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/549,528		<b>Applicant(s)</b> DE FREES, SHAWN	
	<b>Examiner</b> JULIE HA		<b>Art Unit</b> 1654	
	<p><b>All Participants:</b></p> <p>(1) <u>JULIE HA.</u></p> <p>(2) <u>JOHN KILYK.</u></p> <p><b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>11 February 2010</u></p> <p><b>Type of Interview:</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant    <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>If Yes, provide a brief description: _____</p> <p><b>Part I.</b></p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed:</p> <p>Claims discussed:</p> <p>10</p> <p>Prior art documents discussed:</p> <p><b>Part II.</b></p> <p><b>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:</b></p> <p><i>The Examiner telephoned Mr. Kilyk to discuss claim 10. The Examiner informed Mr. Kilyk that there was a printer rush on this case, in reference to claim 10. Claim 10 is dependent on itself. After reviewing the case, the Examiner indicated that claim 10 should be dependent on claim 9. Mr. Kilyk agreed. Claim 10 will depend on claim 9. Applicant is not required to respond to this interview summary.</i></p> <p><b>Part III.</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>  <p>/Julie Ha/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</p>			